## Annex 4 SWOT Analysis

The following SWOT analysis was initially developed by D&S IFCA. It outlines both the challenges and opportunities facing the D&SIFCA in delivering its annual plan. The analysis will be useful when reflecting on the success of the annual plan in the annual monitoring report.

STRENGTHS:	WEAKNESSES:
<ol> <li>Locally delivered fisheries &amp; environmental management</li> <li>Partnership working with Defra organisations</li> <li>IFCA Authority oversight of the process</li> <li>Sub Committee delivery of key objectives</li> <li>Experienced &amp; trained staff</li> <li>Flexible and adpative approach to fisheries and conservation</li> <li>Ministerial support</li> <li>Modernised powers</li> <li>Close working with NGO and higher education sector</li> <li>Strong research ability</li> <li>Organisational Intergrity</li> <li>Skills of the Authority members</li> <li>Delivering Projects on time</li> <li>Adaptive, excellent, innovative, open and unbiassed</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Resources being directed by national issues.</li> <li>Size of the area in relation to resources</li> <li>Lack of understanding of new duties amongst IFC Authority</li> <li>Managing a large and complex Authority structure</li> <li>Small team under pressure to deliver large areas of work.</li> <li>Not in full control of district fishery legislation</li> <li>Full integration difficult to achieved</li> <li>Scope for potential overlap with other relevant authorities</li> <li>Lack of effective national voice</li> <li>Lack of budget to carry out work required.</li> <li>Limitations in current IFCA Assets</li> <li>Communicating the Aims &amp; Objectives of the IFCA</li> <li>Faliure of some funding authorities to</li> </ol>
	fully transfer New Burdens Funding
OPPORTUNITIES:	THREATS:
<ol> <li>Partnership working with Defra funded organisations</li> <li>MOU's and co-delivery</li> <li>Partnership with universities and other third parites</li> <li>Community level engagement</li> <li>Delivering sustainable development</li> <li>Developing better marine protection</li> <li>Social, Economic and environmental gains</li> <li>Underpinning IFCA planning through sound, enhanced scientific information for the whole IFCA area</li> <li>Maximising opportunities to deliver statutory duties</li> <li>Developing improved inshore management through byelaw review.</li> <li>Make longterm improvements to the inshore environment.</li> <li>Developing the model of transistion to allow for new and innovative funding to be accessed to improve delivery by the organisation.</li> <li>Use of new innovative technology</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Removal of New Burdens Funding</li> <li>Redrawing of the IFCA Boundaries in the Severn Area</li> <li>Lack of support from Severn authorities</li> <li>Limitations of funding available</li> <li>IFCA perceived as low priority by Local Authorities</li> <li>Failure to comply with legislation</li> <li>Failure to meet DEFRA MCZ management objectives</li> <li>Failure of support from local people</li> <li>Tight timescales and DEFRA's expectations</li> <li>Unrealistic expectations from third parties</li> <li>Fear from fishermen may limit engagement</li> <li>Poor perception as a result of unpopular decisions</li> <li>Lack of understanding</li> <li>Weakness in current staff structure</li> <li>Skills gaps within current staff</li> <li>Poor communications with third parties</li> </ol>