BBC

Content market dynamics in the UK: outcomes and implications

2 November 2017

Final Report

Mediatique Limited 65 Chandos Place London WC2N 4HG www.mediatique.co.uk

Contents of this report

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Technology developments	3
3.	Platform developments	3
4.	Viewing patterns	6
5.	Revenue streams and business models	8
6.	Implications for the upstream content market: historical perspective	10
7.	Implications for the upstream content market: current trends	15
8.	Future market trends	17
9.	Key conclusions	21

List of Figures

Contact details

Mediatique Limited	Mathew Horsman
65 Chandos Place	Director
London	mathew@mediatique.co.uk
WC2N 4HG	
	Jack Genovese
020 7836 5050	Consultant
www.mediatique.co.uk	jack@mediatique.co.uk

Mediatique Limited is a registered Limited company in England and Wales, Company No. 4575079. Registered Office: 130 Shaftesbury Avenue, London, W1D 5AR

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Over the past decade, the UK and global content markets have been characterised by the entry of a range of financially powerful players, of which Netflix, Amazon and now Apple are the best known among the recent new entrants.
- 1.2 Taking advantage of developments in technology, faster network performance, higher device penetration and shifting consumer preferences, these companies have disrupted traditional media markets for funding, resources, talent and consumer attention. The changes have been evident across the value chain in the audio-visual content market, from content creation, production and aggregation, to distribution and consumption.
- 1.3 In the light of these dynamics, we have identified key trends that have characterised and will continue to affect the UK content markets recently:
 - Increasingly crowded and competitive markets: as a result of reduced barriers to entry and shifts in consumer preferences, existing broadcasters now compete against a range of traditional and new players to secure content and attract audiences (other channels, pay TV platforms, SVOD providers, network operators, retailers, equipment manufacturers), which can now forge new and direct relationships with viewers in ways that were not possible before.
 - New business models: traditional routes to service the end consumer have been disrupted by technology, enabling new business models to emerge notably, over the top ("OTT") services that do not require a broadcast signal or a channel. The resultant multiple points of availability (scheduled TV, on-demand from pay TV operators, over the top delivery) have meant multiple revenue models e.g., subscription video on demand ("SVOD"), bundled packages, ad-funded content in addition to the TV licence fee.
 - Traditional revenue streams under pressure: with lower barriers to entry, traditional distribution and aggregation models are seriously challenged, with consequent effects on revenues. The TV advertising market faces a challenging long-term outlook and traditional pay TV subscription revenues are under considerable pressure, as consumers increasingly switch to lower-priced pay TV propositions and SVOD. One response to the maturing of traditional pay TV and advertising markets has been increased investment by channel and network operators in production assets ITV, Discovery, Liberty Media, Sky, NBC and Sony have all invested in UK and international production companies.
 - Expenditure clustered around more premium, more global content: new entrants are typically global players and their content investment tends to be directed toward more expensive programming; they are more likely to seek global audiences of size. High-end UK talent (writers, creatives and format originators) has also seen more bidders emerge in the form of new entrants, attracted by the UK's evident strengths in creating valuable intellectual property in a globally appealing language.
 - Proliferation of co-productions and multinational partnerships: in order to be able to effectively compete with new entrants, traditional players increasingly rely on co-production with international players (e.g., BBC-AMC, Channel 4-Amazon-Sony) for the funding of more expensive content.
- 1.4 We look at some of the changing dynamics in greater detail here, before considering the implications on the UK content market. The main areas we cover are technology, consumer behaviour (pay TV take-up SVOD use, viewing), revenue models and corporate strategies.

2. Technology developments

- 2.1. The increasing availability and take-up of broadband, together with a growth in the number of connected devices owned by UK consumers, has led to increasing opportunities for viewers to access a wide range of content, "anytime, anywhere" in the home and on the move.
- 2.2. As a result, viewers are now able to manage their behaviours and preferences in a more flexible manner, watching live broadcasts, recording content for delayed playback and selecting content on-demand for viewing at a time (and increasingly at a place and on a device) of their choosing.
- 2.3. More than 80% of UK adults now have a broadband connection, while three quarters have a mobile, nearly 60% a tablet and around one third of adults have a smart TV¹.
- 2.4. At the same time, ownership of the traditional TV set has remained remarkably robust over time, and indeed additional functionality including PVRs and on-demand are increasingly available to the TV set.



Figure 1: Device take-up as a % of the UK adult population, 2010-2016 Source: Ofcom's Communications Market Report 2017

3. Platform developments

- 3.1. Since the UK launch of digital TV in the late 1990s, there has been a steady growth in the number of households paying incrementally for TV. Initially, this growth was driven by consumers seeking greater choice of channels. From the mid-2000s, pay TV operators offered higher quality (i.e., high definition) and greater functionality to encourage subscriber growth and to lock in payments from existing customers. Such functionality included personal video recorders and increasingly IP connectivity to the set-top box, enabling consumers to record and play back TV content and to order TV shows and movies on demand.
- 3.2. Over the past five years, the growth has been further underpinned by the appeal of "lite" (or "skinny") pay TV from BT, TalkTalk and Now TV and a clear preference for bundled services where TV, broadband and telephony are sold together. Increasingly, IP connectivity to the TV is the rule rather than the exception.
- 3.3. Overall traditional pay TV penetration has risen to around 60% today from around 45% in 2006, with the remainder using free-to-air ("FTA") services Freeview and Freesat. Pay TV services are now available across all major distribution networks including satellite, cable and hybrid IP-broadcast platforms such as YouView

¹ This does not include subscribers who have connected set-top boxes from pay TV operators such as Sky or Virgin but who do not have a smart TV. BARB estimates the number of UK individuals who have access to a connected TV – either a smart TV or a connected set-top box – to be around 60% of the UK population (see: <u>http://www.barb.co.uk/tv-landscape-reports/tracker-device-access/</u>).

(using digital terrestrial TV, or "DTT", as a foundation). This pay TV penetration figure excludes households where users access paid-for content over IP from new entrants such as Netflix and Amazon without entering into an underlying pay TV contract; including these SVOD homes would increase pay penetration to around 66% of UK households.



Figure 2: Take-up of pay TV among UK TV population, 2006-2016 Source: Ofcom; BARB; Company reports; Mediatique

- 3.4. Pay TV growth in recent periods has come from two broad sources "upgraders" (e.g., Freeview/Freesat households signing up to skinny pay bundles) and "churners" (largely those pay TV households that do not take premium content and that are persuaded to cancel their subscriptions in favour of lower-price bundles from new entrants). Indeed, traditional high Average Revenue per Unit ("ARPU") subscriptions are in decline.
- 3.5. The consequence of this, to which we return below when we review content funding issues, is that traditional pay TV revenues, increasingly weighted toward lower-ARPU packages, are likely to reduce further in real terms over the next decade, with particular implications for content expenditure.
- 3.6. The growth of pay TV in recent years has been impressive particularly at a time when in other markets, notably the US, the number of pay TV homes has been declining. The reasons for this are many.
 - Pay TV reached very mature levels in the US (more than 90% of all homes at its peak in 2011) a level far in excess of UK penetration rates.
 - Until recently cable operators in the US continued to offer "fat" expensive bundles of channels rather than respond to consumer demand for cheaper skinny packages. The cost of a TV subscription in the US in 2016 was c£700 a year, compared to just £380 in the UK.



Figure 3: Pay TV penetration & ARPU: UK vs US (% of homes, £/year) Source: Ofcom, International Communications Market Report 2016; Mediatique

- 3.7. High prices in the US led to significant churn away from cable and toward cheaper pay TV propositions from new entrants such as AT&T. Some customers also cancelled to return to FTA TV over an aerial. More recently, cable operators have attempted to address the cancellation risk by offering access to services such as Netflix and Amazon via their own TV packages and providing cable broadband even to households that cancel pay TV services.
- 3.8. In the UK, TV platforms (with the exception, at least for now, of Sky) have already reached carriage arrangements with SVOD services; moreover, homes that have so far resisted pay TV of any kind appear to find skinny bundles attractive. In addition, services such as Netflix and Amazon are in fact largely additive rather than substitutive, in the sense that pay TV households are more rather than less likely to take an SVOD proposition.
- 3.9. The fastest growing part of the market is SVOD, led by Netflix. Launched in 2012, Netflix is now at more than 6m households in the UK; including Amazon and Now TV, the total SVOD penetration is more than 8m.²



Figure 4: Take-up of SVOD services in the UK, 2012-2016 Source: BARB, company reports, Mediatique

² By mid 2017, the total SVOD take-up had risen to 9.4m households according to the latest BARB estimates.

4. Viewing patterns

- 4.1. The increasing mix of functionality and take-up of new services are having profound impacts on the nature of content consumption in UK households. Consumption of video content is now characterised by different formats and ways of viewing. This is particularly noticeable among younger age groups, who are more likely than the average to subscribe to SVOD services, to use portable connected devices and to view content other than at the time of the broadcaster's choosing.
- 4.2. Across all viewing (including VOD, physical DVDs, and short-form video), linear TV continues to deliver the majority of consumption across all categories of adults 63% in 2015; however, for those aged below 35, the majority of viewing is now to categories other than live broadcast.



Figure 5: Proportion of viewing activities, by age (% viewing time) Source: Ofcom

4.3. Within long-form TV content only (i.e., discounting short-form and physical video), linear live TV still secured nearly 80% of all viewing in 2016 across all age groups, and more than 90% when PVR consumption is included. Again, younger people are more likely to view non-broadcast content on a TV.



Figure 6: Average total TV viewing, by type (minutes per day, individuals 4+) Source: BARB; Ofcom

4.4. Within both linear broadcast and catch-up TV, the primary Public Service Broadcaster ("PSB") services (from the BBC, ITV, Channel 4 and Channel 5) continue to deliver half of all viewing, even before the incremental

viewing secured by the commercial PSB portfolio channels (e.g., E4, ITV3, etc.). This is an impressive feat: many households now have access to hundreds of channels, even before considering on demand services, and yet the PSBs have maintained salience. Part of this resilience is owed to the continued protections afforded to PSBs in terms of prominence on TV platforms. Changing patterns of consumption may make these protections less relevant over time.



Figure 7: Share of linear viewing, all population 4+ Source: BARB; Ofcom

- 4.5. Despite the shifting behaviours of viewers and the increased level of connectivity for the average UK consumer, viewing across all groups is likely to remain weighted in favour of scheduled TV in the medium term. This reflects a number of dynamics. "Destination" TV (sports, results-based entertainment shows, special events) is still a powerful draw. But of arguably greater importance in the medium term is the impact of an ageing population. Even if the younger age groups take their current consumption patterns with them unchanged into later life (unlikely to be the case when careers and children intervene), the growing number of older people within the total population will compensate to a degree and for a time.
- 4.6. We predict that even by as late as 2026, viewing of live TV channels will still account for more than 60% of all TV consumption.



Figure 8: Long-form viewing minutes per day, by category (daily minutes viewed, forecast) Source: BARB; Ofcom; Mediatique

- 4.7. For younger age groups, this will be markedly lower; however, live TV will still be a major category of viewing behaviour among 16-34s even in ten years' time, suggesting a strong ongoing role for platforms that deliver live TV.
- 4.8. The implications for network delivery are potentially more complex: live TV is not the same as broadcast TV. For example, there is a case that a significant number of traditional live "channels" will be delivered in time not via broadcast but over IP. This will depend ultimately on the economics of delivery, and the incentives of content owners and distributers in the value chain.

5. Revenue streams and business models

- 5.1. The revenues generated in the UK TV market can be classified across three key categories the licence fee, advertising and subscription (more recently including SVOD).
- 5.2. Of these categories, the licence fee and advertising have declined in real terms over the past 10 years, with only subscription revenues growing.
 - The portion of the licence fee dedicated to TV, as calculated by Ofcom, declined in real terms from £3.3bn in 2006 to £2.6bn in 2016.
 - Advertising, similarly, has been under pressure, declining in real terms to £4.1bn from £4.4bn over the past 10 years. In that same period, pay TV revenues (as defined by Ofcom), rose to £6.4bn, from an adjusted £4.8bn in 2006.



 There were no SVOD revenues at all in 2006; by 2016, the combination of SVOD and transactional VOD (e.g., download to own) totalled £953m.

Figure 9: TV revenues by category, 2006-2016 (£Bn, 2016 prices) ³ Source: Ofcom

5.3. The key driver of pay TV revenue growth in recent years has been the low ARPU category – the skinny bundles we refer to above. The players most closely associated with this category – BT, TalkTalk and Sky's Now TV –

³Mediatique uses Ofcom's allocation of the licence fee to TV (different from the BBC's own calculations). In addition, we exclude BBC Worldwide revenues from the BBC income line in the chart (just over £1bn in 2015/16) as these include non-TV income and there is no granular breakdown of TV versus non-TV available in the public domain.

have all pursued bundled strategies, eager to generate income not only from TV but from phone and broadband services.

- 5.4. Traditional pay TV operators (Sky and Virgin) are all offering bundled propositions, later entrants BT and TalkTalk have added TV to their original network offering, and new entrants such as Netflix are exploring a mixture of OTT distribution (e.g., to tablets, PCs and connected TVs) and deals with platforms (e.g., Virgin and BT).
- 5.5. In terms of distribution models for channel groups, these too have evolved over time. Traditional pay TV channel groups are more likely today to be exploring a hybrid free and pay model (e.g., UKTV, Sony, Discovery). The traditional commercial PSBs are also exploring multiple routes to market and new business models unsurprisingly, given pressures on advertising. These include advertising-funded VOD and their own SVOD propositions such as the ITV-BBC Britbox currently available only in the US.
- 5.6. The emergence of these new players, offering on-demand access to large libraries of content, has obliged all legacy operators to respond. This has led to a more complex and diverse landscape involving, in addition to traditional players, manufacturers, telecoms operators, online retailers and even (in recent developments) social networks, which are also seeking to play a role in the distribution of video content, including movies and TV.



Figure 10: Content and adjacent markets diagram Source: Mediatique

- 5.7. The key players are affected in different ways:
 - PSBs remain domestically focused and based on a free model they now compete directly for content with a range of new providers, including globally focused pay operators; those with production arms are facing intensifying competition from increasingly big, consolidated and global external producers.
 - Major US content players (e.g., Fox, NBC-U, Discovery) have long been active in the UK pay TV market, but have recently explored hybrid FTA and pay propositions, exclusive arrangements (e.g., AMC-BT), and direct supply to new entrants such as Amazon and Netflix. These groups have also been investing

vertically, taking a position in the UK production sector to secure a content pipeline and to diversify revenues.

- The UK platform operators complicate this picture further: Sky is a major aggregator of global content from HBO, Starz and others, which are made available directly to its subscribers via on-demand box sets; Netflix itself is also offered as part of a bundle or discrete payments on Virgin and BT. But Sky is also an increasingly important commissioner of original UK content, over and above its traditional focus on premium sports.
- 5.8. Meanwhile, niche **SVOD players** are emerging (e.g., Mubi, Curzon), some of them already being offered in bundles via Amazon Channels, and some likely to migrate to smart TVs (if they are not already available there) and/or pay TV platforms.

6. Implications for the upstream content market: historical perspective

- 6.1. The market developments we have identified here have already had an effect on the ways in which content is created, funded and distributed in major media markets including the UK. Some of these changes resulted from the internationalisation of the UK independent production sector and the impact (certainly since 2010) of the investments made by multi-channel operators. Most recently, the significant investments from new entrants such as Netflix and Amazon (often jointly with UK commissioners) have had a serious impact on the UK market not least in terms of pushing up the price of certain key genres for original content and for the acquisitions market generally.
- 6.2. Before considering the impact of these developments, it worth considering in greater detail how the content production and distribution markets have changed over recent decades.
- 6.3. By 2005, the independent production sector had already grown into a professionalised, consolidated but largely domestic or European affair. That year, there were roughly 800 independent companies generating £1.8bn of revenues, with the top ten companies generating around 60% of all sector revenues.



Figure 11: Evolution of the independent production sector (1995 to 2015 – latest available year) Source: Mediatique; Pact UK

6.4. According to figures compiled for Ofcom, around 83% of companies in 2007 were either UK or European owned. By 2016, following significant mergers and acquisitions activity (led by the likes of NBC-Universal, Sony, Discovery, Liberty Media and Fox), less than 40% fell into these categories, with the rest owned by US

multinationals. In 2014, the last year where full data is available, international companies generated around 60% of all revenues in the "external" production sector. This is likely to be even higher today, given the impact of relatively recent transactions (Shine-Endemol re-structured under Fox/Apollo, and the joint purchase of indie giant All3Media by Discovery and Liberty Media).

6.5. The extent of this "internationalisation" is testimony to the advantages of vertical integration between producers and distributors but also to the attractions of the UK production market in particular, with its world-class programming and format reputation and its increasing integration into a creative axis linking Los Angeles, New York and London.⁴

			ony eft Bank	ITV Big Talk / The	Garden	Sky Love Proc	ductions	ITV TwoFour
NBCUniversal Carnival Films	NBCUniversa Monkey King (+3)		y So		Discovery All3Media		21C Fox Endemo	
2008 2009	2010	2011	2012	201	.3	2014		2015

Figure 12: Key indie takeovers leading to loss of qualifying status⁵ Source: Mediatique; Pact UK

- 6.6. The favourable position of the UK broadcasting market is the product of several factors:
 - The establishment of London as a "Global TV Hub", concentrating on-screen and off-screen talent, production facilities and related infrastructure.
 - A tradition of innovation, investment, and experimentation in television partly as a result of a longstanding tradition for public service broadcasting.
 - Easy access to financial markets and external capital.
 - The reputation for transparency and predictability of British courts.
 - The availability of subsidies and tax credits for the UK creative industries.
 - The presence of a developed and vibrant production sector as a result of a very favourable regulatory environment (summarised in Figure 13 below), including quotas for European and independent works, the availability of key talent (in front of and behind the camera) and the ability to retain or be compensated for intellectual property rights on content commissioned by PSBs for future commercialisation.

⁴ London has established itself over time as one of the global centres of TV and film production, a major digital advertising player, and home to key content brands. The UK TV sector supports major two-way traffic in content, with UK companies (and international players) buying and selling formats, finished programmes and VOD assets to and from major European markets. ⁵External content suppliers with no links to UK broadcasters are "qualifying" irrespective of size. See Figure 13 below for full details of the definition of "qualifying" for the purposes of UK regulation.





Figure 13: Summary of the regulatory regime around PSBs' commissioning of programming from independent producers Source: Mediatique; Pact UK

6.7. A professionalised external supply sector has enabled production companies to diversify their revenues, including to international markets.



Revenue stream (£m)	2006	2016
UK primary commissions as % of total	62%	59%
Direct international* as % of total	14%	23%

Figure 14: Total indie sector revenues – qualifying and non-qualifying (£m) (all figures nominal). *Direct international revenues include primary commissions from overseas broadcasters "other international" and "pre-production" Source: Mediatique; Pact UK

- 6.8. The internationalisation and consolidation of the external supply chain has reduced the number of companies qualifying as truly "independent" under regulation, putting pressure on PSBs' quotas for commissions from independents. It has also led to a far greater level of integration between the US and the UK in upstream markets, with implications for commissioning, co-productions and finance, as we explore below.
- 6.9. For the rest of the UK market, the key players in TV production have been the BBC and ITV.
 - Under its "Window of Creative Competition", the BBC had already put out to external competition a significant proportion of its schedule; in the latest Charter, it was agreed that the remaining in-house guarantee would be removed entirely (excepting news) and the whole of the BBC's requirements met in open competition with external suppliers. As part of this fundamental re-structuring, a new entity, BBC Studios, has been formed to bid for BBC commissions (and ultimately able to supply content to other broadcasters too).
 - ITV's response to changing dynamics of upstream production and specifically the evolution of rights windows has been to acquire a string of independent production companies, both in the UK and (increasingly in recent years) overseas, particularly in the US.
- 6.10. In terms of expenditure, the PSBs have invested significantly less in first-run UK originations since the high reached in real terms in 2004 (an adjusted £3.3bn in that year). By 2016, this was around £2.6bn (see Figure 14 below), partly reflects underlying commercial and strategic pressures, but also shifts in strategy and content economics:
 - Genre splits tell some of the story here, with mainstream broadcasters favouring cheaper factual entertainment over certain drama categories.
 - Overall technical production costs have moderated, especially for studio-bound productions.
 Digitisation has also reduced the costs of post-production and aspects of location filming.
 - Independent producers have also taken on some of the margin squeeze on commissions, in return for the ability to re-coup in ancillary markets. The consolidation of the external market, and the higher risk appetite of global owners, has encouraged this trend of deficit funding by suppliers.
 - Tax credits have also played a role in reducing the net cost of certain content. Notwithstanding changes
 possible post-Brexit (e.g., in country of origin rules and the impact of quotas (or their removal), we
 assume that tax credits for high-end production will continue to be available, having the effect of
 permitting commissioners and producers to stretch budgets.



Figure 15: PSB network spend on first-run UK originations, by genre – 2006-2016 (£m, all figures expressed in 2016 money) Source: Ofcom's PSB Report 2017

- 6.11. As these dynamics were playing out in the first-run commissioning market, there have been significant changes too in the acquisitions segment. Content that had been acquired by UK broadcasters 20 years ago could be classified in two categories – premium and the rest. While the premium market (particularly US) was expensive, commercial broadcasters were able to monetise popular shows in an era where there was less competition for viewing. Starting with the entry of the multi-channel operators into the acquisitions market (led by Sky) and compounded by more recent moves by Netflix and Amazon, the price not just of premium acquired content but even relatively plentiful non-premium titles rose inexorably.
- 6.12. While there is no reliable data source for the acquisitions market, it is clear that prices per hour for nearly all categories have risen, with even a "standard" hour of acquired programming from the US (for its first UK run) now costing up to £180,000, compared less than a third of that level 10 years ago. Of com reports that the acquisitions market, most recently inflated by the entry into the UK of Netflix and Amazon, was roughly £1.2bn in 2016, of which the PSBs accounted for just over £500m. Increasingly, this acquisition market is also fuelled by the trading of content between subsidiaries of large, usually US, companies, supplying their own and other multi-channel brands (Fox, Universal, Discovery, Viacom).
- 6.13. Looking at the most recent data, the original content market continues to be dominated by the legacy broadcasters, despite these trends.



Figure 16: TV content expenditure by PSBs (excluding sport, news and film), by category (£bn, 2016) Source: Ofcom; Mediatique

7. Implications for the upstream content market: current trends

- 7.1. As we have seen, shifting technology, changing consumer preferences, evolving business models and increasing new entry have led to a more complex and crowded content market in the UK.
- 7.2. Traditionally, PSBs have been responsible for the vast majority of first-run UK originations, while non-PSB channels were more likely to offer acquired content from outside the UK mostly from the US and UK repeats. In the past few years, however, non-PSBs have increased their UK-originations budget, especially in key genres such as drama and factual.
 - For instance, Ofcom estimates that all non-PSB broadcasters in the UK spent £4.4bn on content in 2016⁶; however, it estimates c75% of this was film and sport content which was available largely behind a premium pay wall.
 - In its third PSB Review⁷, Ofcom estimates £350m was spent on non-sport UK origination by key multichannel providers; this is up 43% in real terms since 2008, and includes origination spend by the commercial PSB extension channels such as ITV2 and E4. In 2013, Ofcom estimated this expenditure to be 15% of total UK first-run origination (excluding sport).
- 7.3. The market for originations has been disrupted by the emergence of high-end international drama as a driver for new services (e.g., Netflix) and as the prime content to populate new channels (e.g., Sky Atlantic and AMC from BT).⁸ There is now a distinct category of "super premium" content largely drama, high-end documentaries and some comedy which has attracted the attention of new entrants and many premium TV producers in the US (e.g., AMC, HBO, Showtime, Starz). In the UK, Sky has attempted to date to co-opt these large US premium producers by securing long-term output deals, and these have been the foundation of the success of Sky Atlantic.⁹
- 7.4. These trends point towards an increasingly competitive market for content, talent and resources. As a result, both legacy players and new entrants have focused recent attention on boosting control over their content, including: investments in original content by the global SVOD players; further investments by TV studios in production assets (e.g., Liberty/Discovery's purchase of All3Media or the Fox/Apollo consolidation of Shine-Endemol); increasing budgets at Sky original TV series in its key territories, including UK and Germany; and a shift towards international ownership of production entities by ITV.
- 7.5. New entrants Netflix and Amazon have joined pay TV commissioners such as HBO, Showtime, AMC and Sky in bidding up the cost of certain genres high-end drama in particular. The recent announcement by Apple that it will spend c\$1bn on long-form content is likely to fuel price inflation. A decade ago, premium high-end drama might have cost the equivalent in today's money of £1m an hour; in 2016, HBO was spending a reported \$10m (£7.5m) per hour on *Game of Thrones* sustained by underlying business models (i.e., pay, global audiences, co-productions).
- 7.6. This compares with far lower budgets for content produced for the domestic market by the UK broadcasters, with typical high-end series budgeted at around £m per episode (supplemented by co-production funds);

⁶ Ofcom's *Communications Market Report 2017 (TV and Audio-Visual)* is available at the following link: <u>https://www.ofcom.org.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0016/105442/uk-television-audio-visual.pdf</u>

⁷ Ofcom's 3rd Review of Public Service Broadcasting is available at the following link: <u>https://www.ofcom.org.uk/consultations-and-statements/category-1/psb-review-3</u>

⁸ It is worth noting that Netflix will spend around \$1.2bn on original content in 2016 – globally – out of total content budget of c\$6bn (covering films and previously broadcast TV).

⁹ By definition, this has not included content from Netflix or Amazon, although Netflix is now bundled with BT and Virgin (and could be bundled with Sky in the medium term).



however, the volume of content produced by these broadcasters is far in excess of the targeted output of players such as Netflix and HBO.

Figure 17: Average cost/episode of key content titles (\$m, title & original channel) Source: Variety; Forbes; ITV; trade press; Mediatique

- 7.7. Until recently most new entrant original commissions have been sourced from the US, leaving little scope for incremental revenue for UK producers. This has started to shift, however, as evidenced by Netflix's recent UK commissions for *The Crown* and *Our Planet*, and Amazon's commissions for *The Collection* and from the exiting Top Gear team. There is every prospect for increased sourcing of content from the vibrant UK creative market either directly from external production companies or in co-production with UK broadcasters. To date, however, these new digital entrants have funded only a handful of UK-themed programmes.
- 7.8. Competition for key content categories/titles has placed pressures on commissioners in certain genres to secure rights. In addition, global players have struggled to secure talent and resources in domestic markets without the ability to leverage the relationships and skills of existing aggregators. As a result, original commissions are increasingly characterised by joint commissioning and co-production partnerships which leverage respective skills/resources for example, the global reach/financial resources of Amazon alongside the local know-how/valuable brand of Channel 4.
- 7.9. Netflix is increasingly relying on co-productions to make content in non-US markets. This is partly because it needs a domestic partner for access to talent, production expertise, appeal to domestic audiences; in the UK context, it is also seeking to tap into the UK talent and production base (as part of the lucrative Los Angeles New York London axis).



Figure 18: Hours of co-produced Netflix original content, 2013–2017 Hours predicted or announced include both co-pros and those labelled as "Netflix Originals" Source: Mediatique

- 7.10. These trends are mirrored by the increasing reliance of PSBs on external finance and co-productions this is particularly true for drama, with the BBC, ITV and Channel 4 all sourcing significant co-production funding in this genre. In 2016, around 65% of all BBC drama titles were co-produced with an external party; for Channel 4, the figure was 90%. Partners included AMC, Amazon, Netflix and Sony.
- 7.11. A critical issue here will be the way in which rights are shared between producing partners. While there is an attraction in the short term to retaining early-window broadcast rights in the UK, trading other rights away in order to reduce costs, this may prove damaging over time. Broadcasters have traditionally been able to monetise returns over the lifecycle of the content, optimising distribution and income. This flexibility may be traded away to production partners who, over time, become less reliant on the broadcaster and able to proceed on their own.

8. Future market trends

- 8.1. Our review of the market suggests that existing pay TV operators, mobile networks, SVOD players (and the traditional PSBs and pay TV channels) will all continue to play a role in the UK TV market; these players will be joined by Apple, with other well-funded companies (Google and Facebook) likely to enter the market soon.
- 8.2. There will be an evolution even in traditional business models, incorporating shifts to lower ARPU propositions and a blend of linear and non-linear consumption; new entrants will inevitably focus on exclusive, original content in addition to deep libraries, and are likely to continue to seek the benefits arising from integrating production and distribution. Content cost trends will be a major factor in determining content choices and supply relationships. And traditional content producers are likely to have to offset financial pressures by partnering with third parties and continuing to evolve their schedules to adjust to available revenues, cost pressures and audience expectations.

Business models, revenues and the impact on content expenditure

8.3. As bundling of content and network services becomes more prevalent, the bundled revenues accruing to network providers will become a more important driver of content expenditure than "stand-alone" pay TV subscription or advertising revenues.

- 8.4. Although we predict traditional pay TV subscription revenues to decrease over time, we expect total pay TV revenues including SVOD and bundled revenues where relevant to grow in real terms over the next 10 years. This dynamic is driven by a higher proportion of the UK population taking up pay TV services predominantly skinny bundles such as BT and Now TV, and SVOD services, which however enjoy smaller margins than traditional, big-bundle pay TV providers. Players in these adjacent sectors (including Netflix, Amazon, BT) are set to invest some of these additional revenues in UK content, thereby potentially offsetting declines in traditional revenues and subsequent investment in content.
- 8.5. So what might the next 10 years bring in terms of content expenditure, given these trends and dynamics?
- 8.6. If traditional TV players (the BBC, commercial PSBs and multi-channels) continue to invest the same percentage of their revenues in original content as currently, then any decline in these revenues will inexorably lead to a fall in content investment.
- 8.7. We estimate that traditional TV players spent in the aggregate about 20% of their TV revenues on UK originations in 2016. Of this, the PSBs (the BBC, ITV, Channel 4 and Channel 5) actually spent an even higher share of relevant revenues on original content 35% in 2016. Taken as category, traditional content funders are forecast to see a decline in revenues over the next decade; in our analysis, the decline is wholly delivered by downward pressure in the pay TV market, with both advertising and the licence fee assume to be flat. This reflects our view that PSBs in particular are less susceptible to the key market forces that will drive down overall TV revenues in real terms over the next decade (such as cord-shaving or cord-cutting).
- 8.8. Assuming a constant ratio of UK originations spend to revenues of 20% for traditional funders, we therefore identify a potential gap in real terms of more than £500m in expenditure on original content by 2026, or 21% of total current first-run TV content expenditure (enough to fund 230 episodes of *Sherlock* or 700 episodes of *Vera*). Note that this assumption is in fact quite conservative. The gap would be even greater were TV advertising and/or the licence fee to decline rather than to deliver flat performance.
- 8.9. Even at constant levels of investment buoyed by flat revenue growth, the PSBs may well find it difficult to maintain their current UK first-run originations output in terms of hours, given the likelihood of further inflation in content costs (particularly for drama and high-end entertainment). Hence, a relatively constant level of expenditure may well go to fund a schedule with less drama and more lifestyle programming, for example. Adding to challenges for PSBs, it is worth recalling that commercial PSBs (and BBC JV group UKTV) are also exposed to weakness in pay TV subscriptions, given the income stream they receive from pay TV operators for certain of their services.
- 8.10. If the traditional providers of original TV content are constrained, is there any prospect for incremental investment from other market segments? A question that is often asked is whether any gap might be bridged by those players whose revenues are expected to grow in future years the triple play providers of lower cost TV services such as BT, TalkTalk and Now TV and, more significantly, the SVOD providers such as Netflix and Amazon. This may be a tall order.
 - The telecommunications providers which have entered the TV platform market by bundling TV services with their network propositions – e.g. TalkTalk, BT, and EE – have concentrated on aggregating preexisting content rather than investing in new original content. The only exception is BT, but this has been in relation to sports rights and production, not multi-genre TV programming.
 - Any future entrant Vodafone, for instance, which has long considered launching its own TV platform in the UK – would also be unlikely to commission original British programming.
 - As a result, with the exception of sports, new entrant triple-play providers are unlikely to contribute to filling the gap in expenditure on originated British content.

- 8.11. The SVOD market segment appears, on the surface, to be more likely to fund UK content indeed, the SVOD new entrants have already invested in UK content, often alongside UK producers. However, a surface reading might be misleading.
 - For example, pro-rated expenditure on global original content by the two key SVOD players (Netflix and Amazon), adjusted for the UK's share of international SVOD markets in 2016, was £115m. In that year, revenues for SVOD in the UK were £664m, according to Ofcom. This is a ratio of 17% – not all that far from the ratio of original content expenditure by "traditional" funders, at around 20%.
 - But the figure of £115m is actually generous to the SVOD providers, as it includes all the original content (whether made in the UK or not), adjusted for the size of the UK subscribing base as a proportion of the international market. We estimate the actual expenditure by Netflix and Amazon in original programming made in the UK was £101m in 2016, or just 15% of relevant revenues. Even this may not be reflective of underlining dynamics, as it includes the expensive anomaly of *The Grand Tour*, Amazon's Top Gear successor show. Taking this programme out of the calculation, the ratio drops to 12%. Moreover, of the shows that are jointly funded by the new entrants for initial distribution via UK TV channels, nearly all are "global" rather than "domestic" in character (with a heavy emphasis on Sci Fi).
 - Still, even assuming that SVOD players match the traditional players' proportion of revenue invested in UK originations of 20%, that would bring their contribution to UK original programming spend to c£350m in 2026 (based on our ten-year revenue projections), still short of our estimated gap of £500m. Only by spending 28% of relevant revenues on original content (as projected by Mediatique) could the gap be plugged and there is no incentive for these players to do so, especially given their global nature¹⁰.
- 8.12. Moreover, short of legislation, there is no guarantee that any incremental revenues accruing to new entrants would be spread across all the key genres supported currently by traditional content funders; any content produced or commissioned by global players is likely to favour global content attributes (in fantasy and Sci Fi drama, for example, and natural history) rather than domestic tastes.
- 8.13. Furthermore, it must be recognised that, at present, the vast majority of UK originated content is shown on public-service channels that are FTA and therefore available to the widest possible audience. Even though we expect take-up of SVOD and skinny TV services to rise in the next decade or so, there is a genuine risk that, even if the gap in UK original content spend by traditional players is counterbalanced by increased investment in first-run originations by new entrants, such content would not be available to a large proportion of the UK population.

Content investment – implications of the underlying trends

8.14. The market drivers we identify above suggest that the UK content market will become increasingly polarised, with an increasing focus on high-end content characterised by competitive tension and cost inflation.

¹⁰ We note that moves at the European Commission to make SVOD providers contribute to local production could be helpful – but even here, a 20% target would already appear to be on the high side.

Content categories	Rest of market	Standard	Premium	Super premium
Key characteristics	 Long run, cheap and disposable Largely factual or audience participation Little secondary or on demand value 	 Higher quality than rest of market material but still modest Work horse of terrestrial schedules 	 Content with high domestic but less international value Includes high end LE (e.g., shiny shows) 	 Increasingly multiple funders for key projects Likely to have a global audience of size Can be viewed over time or in bingeing High production values
Key genres	 Factual, factual entertainment Lifestyle Reality Kids 	 Talent-led reality, gameshows Documentaries (light, lower end) Entertainment Kids 	 Domestic drama Sitcoms Most high end docs not in category at right LE 	 Multiple narratives, single-season resolved drama; talent-led comedy; very high end natural history
Prospects / likely outcomes	 Cheaper unit costs and growing share of schedules 	 Key elements of traditional broadcast schedules so will continue to flourish 	 More targeted, reduced in size/importance as focus shifts right and left 	 Hourly costs will moderate from current highs – but not by much Will remain minority of broadcast hours but key to SVOD original slate
Trendline of investment	U	٥	•	0

Figure 19: Investment prospects for major genres/categories Source: Mediatique

- 8.15. The UK content market will see a greater role for international players as commissioners, aggregators and partners. However, the share of consumption secured by global players will be always be constrained by a reliance on international content and lack of domestic content. A truly global proposition has its limitations it cannot, for example, displace local content easily, and it is likely to incur additional costs as they, inevitably, are obliged to refine their content libraries to reflect differences in taste, language and competition in different markets.
- 8.16. As a result, access to local content remains crucial to engaging with viewers, a dynamic seen in almost all markets and particularly those with a track record of large domestic players. In the UK, for example, even against the range of competing content (e.g., multi-channel content, a number of SVOD propositions) the mainstream PSBs continue to enjoy a dominant share of total viewing. At least for the foreseeable future, the UK networks will be attractive partners for global players.
- 8.17. This will result in an increasing role for co-productions in the UK market, not least as a way of sharing the impact of cost inflation among partners. In addition, as the content market becomes ever more crowded, competitive and complex, we would expect domestic broadcasters to consider new ways of operating, with as much integration as possible among commissioning, production and distribution.
- 8.18. As audience behaviours increasingly favour non-linear viewing over time¹¹, some genres that are currently commercially attractive will become less so over time. Those genres that are most reliant on live viewing are

¹¹ As more content is consumed on demand, traditional broadcasters will see their linear prominence decline in importance – creating a potentially vicious circle of declining share. Despite calls for new rules, the Government has not yet supported extending prominence protections to on demand platforms. As a consequence of innovations in search and navigation, and new home page propositions where traditional channel brand positioning is diluted, the prior advantages afforded mainstream broadcasters will inevitably reduce.

potentially challenged, although this may render a few destination programmes even more valuable than before. More worryingly, those genres that are more likely to be watched on a non-linear basis may not deliver value reliably as business models do not currently allow time-shifted or on-demand content to be monetised on similar or better terms to live TV.

- 8.19. As competition for audiences intensifies, broadcasters may be incentivised to rely on proven formats or programme brands that have established track records of generating positive returns this may also favour repeats or re-commissions and international sales potential. Content outcomes may be polarised further via a focus on higher quality alongside reduced output ("fewer better") and a shift towards lower cost genres. These trends may well be amplified by the degree of vertical integration between US and UK production and distribution entities, and the degree to which the UK becomes an integral part of a supply chain that is truly global. In this scenario, addressing the specific requirements of domestic audiences may be a second-order consideration.
- 8.20. At risk in this outlook is the extent of domestic commissioning and production in a range of key market segments, with "global" tastes trumping domestic story-telling in high cost genres such as drama, natural history, and high-end entertainment.

9. Key conclusions

- 9.1. The robustness of key revenue markets will determine the availability of funds to support the origination/acquisition of UK content and the trends lines are moderately negative. A confluence of factors (economic conditions, business models, public policy) will reduce the availability of funds for content, even assuming a growing offsetting contribution from SVOD and new entrants from adjacent markets.
- 9.2. Common to both legacy players and new entrants is the belief that competitive advantage can be secured most effectively via rights exclusivity or ownership (delivered via outright ownership or via joint venturing); the alternative is to hope to secure market share via the aggregation of non-exclusive rights, which requires competing largely on brand, scale and/or price.
- 9.3. A major impact of market entry by large international players is continuing inflationary pressures on highend content (notably drama, comedy and factual), even if other TV genres may see prices moderate. This might be most obviously seen in spiralling talent costs, both in front of and behind the camera.
- 9.4. Furthermore, distinctions between scripted TV and movies have already been blurred for example, with TV production increasingly taking on the attributes and talent of film. This is likely to crowd out certain production entities that do not have the resource base or relationships with talent to sustain such high-budget productions.
- 9.5. One answer to the dilemma of spiralling premium content costs is co-production. Already, the contribution of international revenues to UK-based production companies has been growing, offsetting sluggish domestic primary commission revenues. This suggests there will be pressures on broadcasters to access more third-party funding –and a struggle to maintain optimality around rights thereby threatening a loss of control over creative input and rights/returns in secondary windows (in certain genres).
- 9.6. Broadcasters themselves may also seek to maximise revenues in secondary windows by focusing on genres with international appeal, with a potentially damaging impact on UK distinctiveness and innovation compounded by the fact that the majority of output from global players such as Netflix will need to cater for a global audience.
- 9.7. Thus, intensifying global trends could lead to significant changes in the nature of UK content provision potentially resulting in less content being made in certain genres, a shift in focus toward global tastes, reduced plurality of supply, and a polarisation in quality between premium and low cost. The overall effect

may be an increased role for global funders and commissioners and a concomitant decline in the ability of UK creatives to tell distinctively UK stories.

- 9.8. For now, the dangers of these disruptive forces remain limited. New players particularly those with global reach are likely to require access to high-quality domestic content in order to succeed.
- 9.9. UK broadcasters therefore still have a window to ensure a role in the emerging new content market. They have a large share of viewing, strong brands that attract interest from global partners, and enviable track records for commissioning and/or producing quality content. They would do well to consider new ways of operating, including changes to the roles of commissioners/schedulers, increased resources for negotiators to ensure a fair share of secondary market upside and closer integration of commissioning, production and distribution. As content preferences continue to evolve, as business models are adapted in response and as the market globalises further, that window may not remain open for much longer.

Important information

Mediatique is a research and advisory firm based in London working across the media and communications sector, and it may work or have worked for companies and organisations mentioned in this report.

This report represents Mediatique's view of the relevant companies, platforms and markets at the current time. Any opinions, forecasts or estimates herein constitute a judgement as at the date of this document. There can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with any such opinions, forecasts or estimates; no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance.

In preparing this report, Mediatique reviewed a number of public sources, including reports and reviews published by the BBC, Ofcom, BARB and Pact UK. The sources used by Mediatique in the compilation of this report are believed to be accurate but Mediatique takes no responsibility for the accuracy of information derived from third-party sources.

Mediatique has not undertaken legal, contractual or technical due diligence of any kind for the purposes of completing this report. The information contained in this document is subject to change without notice, its accuracy is not guaranteed, it may be incomplete or condensed, and it may not contain all material information concerning the relevant markets in the UK and other territories.

The client for this work is the BBC. While Mediatique have consented to allow this report to be made public, it has not in so consenting extended its duty of care beyond that owing to the BBC.

The views, analyses and conclusions expressed herein are those of Mediatique and no other party, and should not be construed as representing, in whole or in part, the opinions the BBC.