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**Case Referral Flowchart**

Case referred to Partnership Team

Would the case be

best explored via the Safeguarding Leads meeting?

(LIG Chair decision)

No

Does it meet the Working Together 2018 **Serious Incident criteria?**

Yes

 Partnership Team issue **referral response forms** to all LIG members to initiate scoping process

No

Case presented at Safeguarding Leads Meeting

Yes

No

Local Authority to complete **Serious Incident Notification**

for DfE/Ofsted

During scoping,

does the LIG feel the case now meets the criteria for a Serious Incident?

Yes

The three safeguarding partners (Police, CCG, Local Authority) conduct, via LIG and within 15 working days, a **Rapid** **Review.**

Note: This could be within an existing LIG meeting if timescales allow

The IOWSCP Independent Chair writes to National Panel recommending a National Review or Local Learning Review (LCSPR) or No further action.

Note: National Panel will respond in 15 working days

No

Yes

No further action

Does the LIG recommend commissioning a National or Local Safeguarding review?

Review commissioned (if applicable)



**Methodologies Menu for Local Child Safeguarding Practice Review (LCSPR)**

Under Working Together 2018, where potential for further learning from a case is identified, this should be through the commissioning of a proportionate Local Child Safeguarding Practice Review (LCSPR).

The following contains the agreed menu of Isle of Wight approaches and methodologies to be used. This includes the process and who leads the review of the case.

All LSCPRs are classed as either **Local or National Reviews** and the expectation is that they are published.

* The Chair of LIG may also use his discretion and refer the case to be explored at a multi-agency **Safeguarding Leads** meeting, rather than the case being considered for any type of LCSPR.
* **Option 1 - Single agency Review**

Individual agency undertakes a review into the case which is to be agreed/signed off through existing management procedures. In the case of a health review, this will be led by the CCG and findings from the review will be shared with the LIG. This option may be used where agencies have identified learning within their own organisation and there is a benefit for sharing the learning with the LIG.

**This may not need to be published.**

* **Option 2 - LCSPR (Partnership workshop)**

Practitioners involved in the case are identified by LIG members and attend a half day table-top review led by a senior manager from within the partnership agencies (but they must be independent of the case/staff involved in the case). In cases where there is an ongoing criminal investigation, representatives may be asked to attend in place of frontline professionals. **LIG** **referral/and referral response** **forms** are used to gain information if required. Additional information may be requested in the form of chronologies/reports.

A review report is produced and presented to the LIG/Main Board for approval, ahead of **publication on the SCP website.**

* **Option 3 - LCSPR (Local Learning Review)**

Agencies involved in the case will be asked to produce a chronology and/or narrative of the case.

A reviewer will be identified either from within the partnership agencies (but they must be independent of the case/staff involved in the case) or an externally commissioned Independent Reviewer. A **panel will be established consisting of Health, Children’s Services, Police** and any identified specialist agencies as required. Meetings will be held with practitioners as required and as well as a multi-agency workshop.

A review report is produced and presented to the LIG/Main Board approval, ahead of **publication on the SCP website.**

* **Option 4 - National Child Safeguarding Practice Review**

The National Child Safeguarding Practice Review Panel experts may decide to commission a **national review** if a case;

* highlights or may highlight improvements needed to safeguard and promote the welfare of children, including where those improvements have been previously identified
* raises or may raise issues requiring legislative change or changes to guidance issued under or further to any enactment
* highlights or may highlight recurrent themes in the safeguarding and promotion of the welfare of children