

## Community partnership information (CPI) submission rationale

Hampshire police would like partner agencies to submit intelligence on CPI forms to ensure we build intelligence cases on Perpetrators who are exploiting children, and to ensure that the police have accurate information to safeguard children when for instance they go missing. The rationale regarding the use of these forms is as follows;

1. CPI forms offers **protection to the source or informant of the intelligence**. For all agencies this must be a priority when considering information sharing, as the compromise of a source could result in considerable harm to the source and bring corporate liability under ECHR. The CPI forms should be sent to [24/7-Intel@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:24/7-Intel@hampshire.pnn.police.uk) where intelligence processors convert the form onto a 5x5x5 intelligence log. The source details and the information is sanitised in a way that ensures we do not compromise the source if action is taken or when the information is relevant during any court proceedings. It is important the partner agency has the confidence to pass the original source details on the CPI form. Further clarification around the 5x5x5 system can be provided upon request.
2. The submission of intelligence **should be dynamic and a 24/7 function**. If a partner has information to pass this should not wait for a meeting which might be weeks away, when the information could have led to the disruption of a perpetrator or the safeguarding of a child during the interim period.
3. The submission of the **intelligence should be auditable**. Therefore it must be recorded. Passing intelligence verbally leaves the sender and the recipient vulnerable to criticism as to when and how they passed the intelligence if for instance the route of information sharing is scrutinised during a public enquiry.
4. By recording the information we ensure the **accuracy of the information** is not lost in transition. By verbally passing the information to a 3<sup>rd</sup> party such as a police officer we increase the risk of the intelligence being contaminated due to another layer being created in the chain of the intelligence flow. This increases the risk of **collateral intrusion** on third parties, and the misuse of overt and covert tactics. The aim should be to record and submit the intelligence as close to how the source told it as possible.
5. The CPI form ensures that the **intelligence is uploaded onto the Police National Database**. This ensures other police forces can see the intelligence, an important factor considering the threat of trafficking and movement of children in the case of county lines for instance, or when a child is moved into the care of another county.
6. It should be noted that Hampshire police seek to comply with the above points by submitting **PPN1 forms**.

Detective Inspector 3183 Ross TOMS, Missing, Exploited and Trafficked Team.

December 2017.