

Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority

Compliance and Enforcement Plan









© Sussex IFCA 2012

Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority Compliance and Enforcement Plan. First draft published April 2012

This report is available to download from www.sussex-ifca.gov.uk

Alternatively a hard copy can be viewed at: Sussex IFCA. 12a Riverside Business Centre, Shoreham-by-Sea, West Sussex, BN43 6RE

Tel. 01273454407 www.sussex-ifca.gov.uk/ www.twitter.com/sussex_ifca facebook.com/sussex-ifca

The Sussex IFCA is funded by







Contents

1.1 Purpose of Plan

- 1.2 The duty of the IFCA
- 1.2 The IFCA District
- 1.3 Role of Compliance and Enforcement

2.0 IFCA Approach to Achieving Compliance

- 2.1 Better Regulation Principles and the Authorities
- 2.2 Principles of Regulation

3.0 Enforcement Options

- 3.1 Verbal warning
- 3.2 Advisory Letter
- 3.4 Official Written Warning
- 3.5 Financial Administrative Penalties
- 3.6 Prosecution
- 3.6.2 Public Interest Test
- 3.6.3 Companies and Company Office Holders

4.0 Conduct of investigations

5.0 Summary

1.1 Purpose of Plan

This document sets out the Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority's (the Authority) approach to achieving compliance and provides information about the general principles the Authority will follow.

1.2 The duty of the IFCA

On the 1st April 2011, the Authority was fully vested under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 (MaCAA). Under sections 153 and 154 of the MaCAA the Authority's main duties are described relating to the sustainable management of inshore fisheries and the protection of Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs).

The Authority took over the fisheries and conservation management responsibilities from the Sussex Sea Fisheries Committee and a small part of the Environment Agency roles within the new Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation (IFC) District.

1.2 The IFCA District

The Marine element of the Authority's District* covers all tidal waters (extending to six nautical miles from the 1983 baselines[†]). The east and west seaward boundaries are established from a line drawn south from the point at which the limits of county boundaries of East Sussex and West Sussex extend seaward; in Rye Bay and Chichester Harbour respectively. The terrestrial and intertidal element of the District includes the entire counties of the constituent local authorities of East and West Sussex County Councils' and Brighton and Hove City Council.

1.3 Role of Compliance and Enforcement

In undertaking its regulatory responsibilities, the Authority starts from the position that the vast majority of the community of people, organisations and industries using the marine area are compliant with the regulations and controls that affects them. The Authority works to try to ensure that all parties understand both what rules apply to their particular industry (or part of it), and the rationale for the regulation being necessary. Where people, organisations and industry are not aware of the rules that apply to them, or require further guidance to ensure they are compliant, the Authority will assist by providing guidance and/or assistance and will raise awareness, where possible, as a first step to achieving compliance.

Full compliance with EU, UK and in particular local fisheries and environmental legislation is the overall aim of the Authority. This aim is best achieved through the adoption of an adaptive co-management approach to fisheries management. The key to achieving high compliance is ensuring that those users who are

^{*} The Sussex Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Authority Order 2010

[†] means the baselines as they existed at 25th January 1983 in accordance with the Territorial Waters Order in Council 1964 (1965 III p.6452A, as amended by the Territorial Waters (Amendment) Order in Council (1979 II p.2866).

potentially affected have a real opportunity to engage with the Authority over the local management approach to be taken. By engaging in the management process, the Authority and all users get a far better understanding of the requirements of the other interested parties. Conflicts of interest will not always be resolved but, having gained an understanding of why actions are taken, affected users are far more likely to accept the approach taken.

Where consensus with the management approach is not achieved or where the potential gain is significant, the risk of illegal activity increases. The risk is even greater where an effective enforcement deterrent is not in place. The deterrent is only effective where the risk of enforcement action is high (whether perceived or in reality) and the consequences are serious. In recognition of the need to have an effective deterrent, fines applicable to byelaw offences have risen from a maximum of £5,000 to £50,000.

The Authority uses the various compliance measures at its disposal to endeavour to ensure, where possible, that no party engaged in regulated activity gains an unfair market advantage by breaking the rules and that honest and law abiding people, organisations and industry are not disadvantaged by being compliant. It will also seek to use appropriate compliance and enforcement measures (in partnership where appropriate with other regulatory bodies), where it considers it to be necessary, to ensure that the marine environment generally, as well as the habitats and species within it, do not suffer unnecessary detriment in the short or long term, by the activities of any individuals or other legal personalities who act unlawfully.

Where the Authority undertakes compliance activity, it seeks to place the minimum burden on our regulated stakeholders, except to the extent that it is believed necessary to achieve compliance and, in doing so, pay particular attention to the particular burden on the many small businesses we are responsible for regulating.

2.0 IFCA Approach to Achieving Compliance

2.1 Better Regulation Principles and the Authorities

The Authority works in accordance with the Hampton Principles of Better Regulation as set out in the Regulators' Compliance Code[‡] and the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 (as amended[§]).

The Authority will also adhere to the principles as set out in the Investigators' Convention (2009) and the Prosecutors' Convention (2009).

2.2 Principles of Regulation

These principles set out below are drawn from the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006 and the Regulators' Compliance Code and are laid out here together with explanation as to their relevance to the Authority undertaking its regulatory functions. In carrying out the functions delegated to it, the Authority will ensure that:

- I. any action taken, including compliance related or investigative, is **proportionate** to specific, identified, risk or need for intervention;
- II. it is **accountable** for its regulatory activity to its stakeholders, its parent department, Ministers, the public at large and the courts;
- III. its actions are **consistent**, in that it should make similar (but not necessarily the same) decisions about activity in similar circumstances, in accordance with its delegated responsibilities, statutory objective and guidance;
- IV. its regulatory actions are **transparent**, by publishing information to its regulated stakeholders indicating what enforcement action it can take and may take in appropriate circumstances (for example by publication of this document); and
- V. all its activities and, in particular those that would place a "burden" on a regulated person (such as monitoring, inspection, investigation and compliance actions), are targeted using a risk based approach** to a specific identifiable need (therefore, for example, limiting random inspections to specific identified compliance requirements). The Risk Based Approach and the use of a Compliance Risk Register is delivered in accordance with IFCA best practice guidelines*

[‡] http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/+/http://www.bis.gov.uk/policies/better-regulation/improving-regulatory-delivery/implementing-principles-of-better-regulation/the-regulators-compliance-code

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/51/contents

^{**} Risk Based Approach is available on the Authority's website

framework http://archive.defra.gov.uk/environment/marine/documents/interim2/2011-ifca-guide-cef.pdf

VI. Inshore Fisheries and Conservation Officers (IFCOs) appointed by the Authority are highly trained, competent and adhere to the inspection code of conduct $^{\sharp\sharp}$; and

VII. it works closely with partner organisations to make best use of available resources and share information.

-

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize $^{$\pm$}$}}$ National IFCA code of conduct for inspections on the Authority's website

3.0 Enforcement Options

We will endeavour to achieve compliance through education, advice and guidance wherever this is possible. We will use appropriate and proportionate action (including enforcement if necessary) where this has not been successful. The range of enforcement tools which the Authority may use in order to achieve compliance are set out below:

3.1 Verbal warning

A verbal warning is issued when a minor infringement in legislation is detected. This approach is used to remind person(s) of relevant legislation. The details of the verbal warning are recorded on relevant inspection forms and compliance systems. If the person(s) commits a similar offence the Authority will take into consideration previous warnings when considering what sanction will be applied.

3.2 Advisory Letter

Where it is believed that breaches of the law may have been committed and it is appropriate to do so, an advisory letter may be sent reminding the regulated person(s) of the need to obey the law. This may be sent without prejudice to other purely civil remedies^{§§}.

3.4 Official Written Warning

Where there is evidence that an offence has been committed but it is not appropriate to implement formal prosecution proceedings, an official written warning letter may be sent to the regulated person(s), outlining the alleged offending, when it occurred and what regulation(s) were breached. It will also set out that it is a matter which could be subject to prosecution should the same behaviour occur in the future. This may be sent without prejudice to other purely civil remedies.

3.5 Financial Administrative Penalties

The Authority may issue a Financial Administrative Penalty*** ("FAP"), the level of which may be up to £10,000^{†††} as an alternative to criminal prosecution in certain circumstances. A FAP may only be issued where there is evidence of offences committed, and may be issued to the owner, skipper and/or charterer of an English or Welsh vessel wherever it operates. Payment of the penalty will discharge the possibility of the Authority prosecuting the offence. However, if a FAP is not paid within the required timescale (28 days), the matter will proceed to court (note that non-payment of the FAP is not an offence). The guidance details information on the categories of penalty according to the regulation breached and the severity of the offence. In some circumstances the Authority may decide a FAP is inappropriate sanction and instigate a prosecution. FAPs are not issued for offences concerning the obstruction of officers in the course of conducting their work.

^{§§} Civil remedies are procedures and sanctions, used to prevent or reduce criminal activity as an alternative to using formal court proceedings

^{***} Industry guidance on the process and application of Financial Administrative Penalties by Sussex IFCA is available from the IFCA and is on the website www.sussex-ifca.gov.uk

^{†††} The Sea Fishing (Penalty Notices) (England) Order 2011

3.6 Prosecution

Criminal prosecutions are regarded as the strongest sanction and so infrequently used compared with alternative options. They are an important tool in discouraging non-compliance; the purpose is to secure conviction and ensure that the wrongdoing can be punished by a Court at an appropriate level, thus acting as a deterrent to any future wrongdoing by both the offender and others who may engage in similar criminal behaviour.

It will be used where the offending is considered to be particularly serious, is repetitive, may cause significant harm to the environment, has resulted in significant unlawful profit, has caused a disruption of the market concerned – and therefore disadvantage to other legitimate operators – or where it is otherwise necessary in all the circumstances relating to the alleged offending and the offender.

Criminal proceedings will be applied in circumstances where an officer has been obstructed in the course of carrying out their duties and associated public order offences.

A prosecution may be commenced where it is felt that the matter is too serious or not suitable for another form of disposal such as a financial administrative penalty, official written warning, advisory letter or verbal warning. In order to prosecute, the prosecutor has to be satisfied both that there is sufficient evidence of the alleged offending and that there is a clear public interest in taking criminal proceedings.

As a public prosecutor the Authority acts under the supervision of the Attorney General and abides by the principles set out in the Code for Crown Prosecutors^{‡‡‡}, the two main principles of which are set out below.

3.6.1 Sufficiency of Evidence Test

As a public prosecutor, the Authority will only commence a prosecution if it is satisfied that there is a "realistic prospect of conviction" against each suspect on each charge on the available evidence. If a case does not pass this test, it will not go ahead regardless of how important or serious it may be.

If a case passes the sufficiency of evidence test, the Authority ^{§§§} will consider whether it is appropriate to prosecute, or whether it is appropriate to exercise one of the enforcement options available to it as set out above. In determining the correct response in any individual case, the Authority will always take into account the public interest in prosecuting.

-

^{***} http://cps.gov.uk/publications/docs/code2010english.pdf

The Authority has the statutory authority to prosecute, which is delegated to the Head of Service officer by way of Sussex IFCA Standing Order 73 and considered by a panel of Committee members

3.6.2 Public Interest Test

Where there is sufficient evidence to justify a prosecution, or offer any form of out-of-court disposal, the Authority must go on to consider whether a prosecution is required in the public interest.

Assessing the public interest is not simply a matter of adding up the number of factors on each side and seeing which side has the greater number. Each case must be considered on its own facts and on its own merits. In addition to the public interest factors set out in the Code for Crown Prosecutors, some common public interest factors which should be considered when deciding on the most appropriate course of action to take are listed below.

- The implications of the offending for the enforcement of the regulatory regime undermine management approach taken;
- The impact of the offending on the environment, including wildlife, and also, where applicable, having regard to Marine Conservations Zones and other protected areas;
- With regard to offences affecting fish and fish stocks, whether recovery species are involved, and any issues as to quota status;
- Any implications the offending had or may have had on public health;
- The degree of harm the offending may cause to any aspect of the marine environment or any protected species;
- The financial benefit of the offending or other financial aspects of the offence including the impact on other legitimate operators;
- Whether the offence was committed deliberately or officials were obstructed during the course of the offending / investigation;
- The previous enforcement record of the offender;
- The attitude of the offender including any action that has been taken to rectify or prevent recurrence of the matter(s);
- Where offences are prevalent or difficult to detect, the deterrent effect on others by making an example of the offender.

A prosecution is less likely to be required if:

- The court is likely to impose a nominal penalty;
- The seriousness and the consequences of the offending can be appropriately dealt with by an out-of-court disposal which the person(s) accepts;
- The offence was committed as a result of a genuine mistake or misunderstanding;
- The financial gain or disturbance to sensitive marine habitat can be described as minor and was the result of a single incident, particularly if it was caused by a misjudgement;

- There has been a long delay between the offence taking place and the date of the trial, unless there are key mitigating circumstances that caused the delay;
- The person(s) played a minor role in the commission of the offence; the suspect is, or was at the time of the offence, suffering from significant mental or physical ill health.

3.6.3 Companies and Company Office Holders

Criminal proceedings may be commenced against all those persons suspected of the offence(s). Where there is sufficient evidence and it is in the public interest, proportionate and appropriate to do so, the Authority may commence proceedings against companies or other bodies liable for offending and company directors or other statutory office holders, where we believe there is evidence of personal liability

4.0 Conduct of investigations

The Authority has a range of powers available to it in order to assist in the prevention and investigation of offending. Some of the more common powers are:

- The power to enter and search business premises and, in exceptional circumstances, dwellings;
- The power to require production of and to inspect documentation;
- The power to seize items, including computers, where necessary;
- The power to board and inspect fishing vessels or marine installations;
- The power to enter and inspect vehicles;
- The power of forfeiture in respect of fish and fishing gear suspected to be unlawful;
- The power to detain vessels or marine installations.

This is not an exhaustive list of powers available to the Authority, but an example of some of the more commonly-used powers. The Authority will exercise its powers appropriately and exercise due restraint to ensure use is proportionate to the particular circumstances.

The majority of the Authority's powers derive from the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 and the Sea Fisheries (Conservation) Act 1967. Investigations will be carried out by IFC Officers in accordance with the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act 1996 and the Codes of Conduct issued under the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

Information and evidence gained by an IFC Officer may be used in furtherance of one of the Enforcement Options set out above. In some circumstances, information or evidence obtained by our officers in the exercise of their duties may be shared with other Government bodies or agencies.

5.0 Summary

The Authority understands that fisheries management only succeeds with an integrated approach encompassing communication, research and enforcement. It sees that it is important to secure the right balance between social, environmental and economic benefits to ensure healthy seas, sustainable fisheries and a viable industry and that enforcement of legislation is a key part of achieving these goals.